arXiv:1405.1607v1 [math.OA] 7 May 2014

A GREEN–JULG ISOMORPHISM FOR INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

BERNHARD BURGSTALLER

Abstract. For every finite unital inverse semigroup S and S-C^*-algebra A we establish an isomorphism between $KK^S(\mathbb{C}, A)$ and $K(A \rtimes S)$. This extends the classical Green–Julg isomorphism from finite groups to finite inverse semigroups.

1. Introduction

Let G be a compact group and A a G-C^*-algebra. The Green–Julg isomorphism by Green and Julg [4] states that there is an isomorphism between G-equivariant K-theory $KK^G(\mathbb{C}, A)$ of A and the K-theory of the crossed product $A \rtimes G$, that is, one has $KK^G(\mathbb{C}, A) \cong K(A \rtimes G)$. This isomorphism plays a fundamental role in operator K-theory and has been successfully extended to other categories than compact groups G as well, for example compact groupoids by J. L. Tu [12, 11] and compact quantum groups by R. Vergnioux [13, 14].

In this note we extend the Green–Julg isomorphism to the class of unital finite inverse semigroups S and the universal crossed product by Khoshkam and Skandalis [7]. Formally, it look like the classical isomorphism, that is, we have $KK^S(\mathbb{C}, A) \cong K(A \rtimes S)$, see Theorem 5.4. The proof is done as follows. We have proven in [2] that there exists a Baum–Connes map for a certain class of inverse semigroups, including finite inverse semigroups, by translating inverse semigroup equivariant KK-theory to groupoid equivariant KK-theory and then applying the Baum–Connes map for groupoids by Tu [11]. Since S is finite, this Baum–Connes map is an isomorphism $\widehat{KK^S}(\mathbb{C} \rtimes E, A \rtimes E) \cong K(A \rtimes S)$, where E denotes the set of idempotent elements of S, and $\widehat{KK^S}$ compatible S-equivariant KK-theory [2]. Our main work in this note is to establish an isomorphism $\delta^S : KK^S(A, B) \to \widehat{KK^S}(A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E)$ between S-equivariant KK-theory [3] and compatible S-equivariant KK-theory [2] in Theorem 5.3, from which the announced Green–Julg isomorphism follows in Theorem 5.4.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19K35, 20M18, 46L55, 46L80.

Key words and phrases. Green–Julg isomorphism, inverse semigroup, crossed product, K-theory.

This note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic definitions of S-equivariant KK-theory. In Section 3 we define the compatible internal tensor product of S-equivariant Hilbert bimodules. In Section 4, Proposition 4.4, we show a certain equivalence of categories between compatible and incompatible S-equivariant Hilbert bimodules. In Section 5, we use this to prove that δ^S is an isomorphism, see Theorem 5.3, and deduce the Green–Julg isomorphism for inverse semigroups in Theorem 5.4.

2. S-equivariant KK-theory

Let S be a unital inverse semigroup and E its subset of idempotent elements. We recall here some basic definitions about C^*-algebras and KK-theory in the S-equivariant case, see [3] or [1]. Because S is an inverse semigroup, these definitions become slightly compacter than in [1]. We shall always assume that the unit $1 \in S$ acts as the identity on the respective category.

Definition 2.1. An *S-Hilbert C^*-algebra* is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded C^*-algebra A with a unital semigroup homomorphism $\alpha : S \to \mathrm{End}(A)$ such that α_s respects the grading and $\alpha_{ss^*}(x)y = x\alpha_{ss^*}(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$ and $s \in S$.

We usually write $s(a) := \alpha_s(a)$ for the action of S on A. A $*$-homomorphism $f : A \to B$ between S-Hilbert C^*-algebras A and B is called *S-equivariant* if $f(s(a)) = s(f(a))$ for all $a \in A$ and $s \in S$. We regard the class of S-Hilbert C^*-algebras as a category where the morphisms are the S-equivariant $*$-homomorphisms.

Definition 2.2. Let B be an S-Hilbert C^*-algebra. An *S-Hilbert B-module* $\mathcal{E}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded Hilbert B-module which is equipped with a unital semigroup homomorphism $U : S \to \mathrm{Lin}(\mathcal{E})$ (linear maps on $\mathcal{E}$) such that U_s respects the grading, U_{ss^*} is a self-adjoint projection in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, and the identities $\langle U_s(\xi), \eta\rangle = s(\langle \xi, U_{s^*}(\eta)\rangle)$ and $U_s(\xi b) = U_s(\xi)s(b)$ hold for all $s \in S$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$.

Definition 2.3. Let A and B be S-Hilbert C^*-algebras. An *S-Hilbert A, B-bimodule* $\mathcal{E}$ is an S-Hilbert B-module $\mathcal{E}$ with a $*$-homomorphism $\pi : A \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, which is an *$S$-equivariant representation* of A on $\mathcal{E}$ in the sense that $U_s\pi(a)U_{s^*} = \pi(s(a))U_sU_{s^*}$ and $[U_sU_{s^*}, \pi(a)] = 0$ (commutator) for all $a \in A$ and $s \in S$.

We often write $a\xi$ rather than $\pi(a)\xi$. We remark that A and B act usually *incompatibly* on $\mathcal{E}$ in the sense that $U_e(\xi)b \neq \xi U_e(b)$ for $e \in E$ and $b \in B$, and similarly so on the A-side. We shall consider compatible versions of Hilbert bimodules in the following sense.

Definition 2.4. We call an S-Hilbert A, B-bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ *compatible* if $e(a)\xi = aU_e(\xi)$ and $U_e(\xi)b = \xi e(b)$ for all $e \in E, \xi \in \mathcal{E}, a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

A *morphism* $\mu : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ between S-Hilbert A, B-bimodules $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is understood to strictly respect all involved structures on both sides (including the B-valued inner product). We view the class of S-Hilbert A, B-bimodules together with these morphisms as a category. It forms a subclass of the class of all (sometimes called *incompatible*) S-Hilbert A, B-bimodules.

Definition 2.5. An *S-equivariant A, B-cycle* $(\mathcal{E}, T)$ consists of an S-Hilbert A, B-bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ and an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $(\mathcal{E}, T)$ is a non-equivariant cycle in the sense of Kasparov ([5, 6]) and both $[U_{ss^*}, T]$ and $U_sTU_{s^*} - U_{ss^*}T$ are in $\{S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}) |\, aS, Sa \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})\}$ for all $s \in S$. $KK^S(A, B)$ is defined to be the class of S-equivariant A, B-cycles divided by homotopy induced by S-equivariant $A, B[0, 1]$-cycles.

A cycle is called *compatible* if the underlying S-Hilbert A, B-bimodule is compatible. $\widehat{KK^S}(A, B)$ is defined to be the set of compatible cycles divided by homotopy (induced by compatible cycles), see also [1, Section 3]. The *full crossed product* $A \rtimes S$ of an S-Hilbert C^*-algebra A (see [7]) is the enveloping C^*-algebra of the involutive Banach algebra $\ell^1(S, A) := \{a : S \to A |\, a_s \in A_{ss^*} := ss^*(A), \sum_{s\in S} \|a_s\| < \infty\}$ under convolution $(\sum_{s\in S} a_s \rtimes s)(\sum_{t\in S} b_t \rtimes t) := \sum_{s,t\in S} a_s s(b_t) \rtimes st$ and involution $(\sum_{s\in S} a_s \rtimes s)^* := \sum_{s\in S} s^*(a_s^*) \rtimes s^*$ (standard elements of $A \rtimes S$ are denoted by $a \rtimes s$). Sieben's crossed product [10] is denoted by $A\widehat{\rtimes}S$.

3. The compatible internal tensor product

For the rest of the paper we assume that E is a finite set. The commutative C^*-algebra $C^*(E)$ freely generated by the set E of commuting projections (see [7]) may be identified with the full crossed product $\mathbb{C} \rtimes E$, and with $C_0(X)$, where X denotes the (finite) spectrum of $C^*(E)$. The canoncial generators of $C^*(E)$ are denoted by $u_e \in C^*(E)$ $(e \in E)$. By universality, $C^*(E)$ induces a $*$-homomorphism $C_0(X) \to Z(\mathcal{M}(A)) : u_e \mapsto \alpha_e$ (center of the multiplier algebra) for every S-Hilbert C^*-algebra (A, α). Similarly we have a $*$-homomorphism $C_0(X) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}) : u_e \mapsto U_e$ for every S-Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}$.

Every minimal projection P in $C^*(E)$ corresponds to an element $x \in X$ such that $P = 1_{\{x\}}$ in $C_0(X)$, and thus we loosely write $P \in X$ for a minimal projection P. It may be written

as

$$P = u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n}(1 - u_{f_1}) \dots (1 - u_{f_m}), \tag{1}$$

where $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \sqcup \{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ is some partition of E into two parts ($n \geq 1, m \geq 0$). We also have $P = u_e(1 - u_{ef_1}) \dots (1 - u_{ef_m})$, where $e = e_1 \dots e_n$. In this way we see that every P can be written in so-called *standard form* $P = u_e \prod_{f \in E, f<e}(1 - u_f)$ with $e \in E$, and vice versa, every expression in standard form is an element of X. Define $E_e := eE$ for $e \in E$. For all $s \in S$ there is an order preserving isomorphism $\gamma_s : E_{s^*s} \to E_{ss^*}$ (with inverse γ_{s^*}) defined by $\gamma_s(e) = ses^*$.

For general considerations, we enlarge the set of letters u_e ($e \in E$) by considering also formal letters u_s for every $s \in S$. In practical terms we mean by u a formal S-action which is not specified, and which has to be replaced by the concrete S-action when applied to concrete Hilbert C^*-algebras and modules. Note that we have $u_s P = P_s u_s$ for $s \in S$, where

$$P_s := u_s P u_{s^*} = u_{se_1s^*} \dots u_{se_ns^*}(1 - u_{sf_1s^*}) \dots (1 - u_{sf_ms^*}). \tag{2}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be incompatible S-Hilbert bimodules with S-actions U and V, respectively. Define the self-adjoint diagonal projection $\mathbb{D} := \sum_{P \in X} P \otimes P$ on the internal tensor product $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$. (Recall from [3] that $U_e \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes V_e$ are well-defined self-adjoint projections on $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$.)

Lemma 3.1. *$\mathbb{D}$ commutes with $u_s \otimes u_s$.*

Proof. Write $P = u_e \prod_{f<e}(1 - u_f)$ in standard form. Let $s \in S$. Since P is a minimal projection, either $u_s P = u_s u_{s^*s} P = 0$ or $P \leq u_{s^*s}$. In the latter case we have $e \in E_{s^*s}$ and

$$u_s P = P_s u_s = u_{ses^*} \prod_{f<e}(1 - u_{sfs^*}) u_s = u_{\gamma_s(e)} \prod_{f<e}(1 - u_{\gamma_s(f)}) u_s.$$

We see here that P_s is again in standard form as γ_s is an order isomorphism. Setting $Y_g = \{P \in X \,|\, P \leq u_g\}$, the map $P \mapsto P_s$ defines a bijection $Y_{s^*s} \to Y_{ss^*}$ (with inverse map $P \mapsto P_{s^*}$). Consequently,

$$(u_s \otimes u_s)\mathbb{D} \;=\; \sum_{P \in Y_{s^*s}} u_s P \otimes u_s P = \sum_{P \in Y_{s^*s}} P_s u_s \otimes P_s u_s = \mathbb{D}(u_s \otimes u_s).$$

□

From the arguments in the last proof we also get the following corollary.

Lemma 3.2. *(i) For every $P \in X$ and $s \in S$ one has $P \leq u_{s^*s}$ iff $P_s \neq 0$ iff $P_s \in X$.*

(ii) If P is in standard form in (1) then P_s is also in standard form in (2).

(iii) Denoting $Y_e = \{P \in X | \, P \leq u_e\}$ for $e \in E$, the map $P \mapsto P_s$ defines a bijection $Y_{s^*s} \to Y_{ss^*}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{E}$ an S-Hilbert A, B-bimodule and $\mathcal{F}$ an S-Hilbert B, C-bimodule. The *compatible* internal tensor product $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^X \mathcal{F}$ is the sub-S-Hilbert A, C-bimodule $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$.

By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^X \mathcal{F}$ is invariant under the S-action. The tensor product $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^X \mathcal{F}$ is now compatible, that is, $\mathbb{D}(u_e(\xi) \otimes \eta) = \mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes u_e(\eta))$ for every $e \in E$. If the module multiplication between $\mathcal{E}$ and B is compatible then it is also compatible with respect to P, that is, $P(\xi)b = \xi P(b) = P(\xi b) = P(\xi)P(b)$ (by induction with expression (1)).

4. A categorial equivalence

Given an S-Hilbert C^*-algebra A, we regard the crossed product $A \rtimes E$ as a S-Hilbert C^*-algebra under the S-action $\beta_s(a \rtimes e) = s(a) \rtimes ses^*$, see [7]. We let A act on $A \rtimes E$ by multiplication, which is an S-equivariant representation $A \to \mathcal{L}(A \rtimes S)$ in the sense of Definition 2.3. Throughout let us now fix two S-Hilbert C^*-algebras A and B. The category of (incompatible) S-Hilbert A, B-bimodules is denoted by C, and the category of compatible S-Hilbert $A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E$-bimodules by D.

Lemma 4.1. *We have a functor $\mathsf{F} : \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{D}$ given by $\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E} \otimes_B^X (B \rtimes E)$ for objects $\mathcal{E}$ in C, and $\mathsf{F}(\mu) = (\mu \otimes 1)\mathbb{D} := (\mu \otimes id_{B \rtimes E})|_{\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{E})}$ for morphisms μ in C.*

Proof. We turn $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E)$ into a left $A \rtimes E$-module via the compatible S-equivariant representation

$$\overline{\pi} : A \rtimes E \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E)) : \overline{\pi}(a \rtimes e) = (\pi(a) \otimes 1)\overline{U}_e,$$

where $\overline{U}_s = U_s \otimes \beta_s$ denotes the diagonal action, see also [2, Lemma 6.5]. Clearly, $\mathbb{D}$ commutes with $\pi(a) \otimes 1$ and $\overline{U}_e$ and so with $\overline{\pi}(a \rtimes e)$. Hence $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E))$ is an S-Hilbert $A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E$-bimodule. It remains to check that it is compatible on the $B \rtimes E$-side. Let x and y in $B \rtimes E$ and $e \in E$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes x)u_e(y) &= \mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes xu_e(y)) = \mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes u_e(xy)) = \mathbb{D}(u_e(\xi) \otimes u_e(x)y) \\ &= ((u_e \otimes u_e)\mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes x))y \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1. For a morphism $\mu : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ between S-Hilbert bimodules, $\mu \otimes 1$ commutes with $\mathbb{D}$ on $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$, and so $(\mu \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}$ is a map on the compatible tensor product. □

For simplicity, we will from now on assume that B has a unit 1_B. If B has not a unit, one replaces it by an approximate unit and takes the limit along the approximate unit in all expressions there where 1_B appears in the text. Given P as in (1), denote by $\rho_P : B \to B$ the projection $u_{e_1} \dots u_{e_n}$ acting on B.

Lemma 4.2. *For every $x \in B \rtimes E$ and $P \in X$ there exists a unique $x_P \in \rho_P(B)$ such that $xP(1_B \rtimes 1) = x_P P(1_B \rtimes 1)$. The map $\sigma_P : B \rtimes E \to B$, $\sigma_P(x) = x_P$, is a $*$-homomorphism.*

Proof. In every S-Hilbert C^*-algebra the multiplication is compatible and so in particular one has $P(a)b = aP(b)$. We choose for P a representation as in (1). Let $x = b \rtimes g$ be an elementary element in $B \rtimes E$. Then $(b \rtimes g)P(1_B \rtimes 1) = P(b \rtimes g)(1_B \rtimes 1) = P(b \rtimes g)$. Either $g = f_i$ for some i, in which case

$$P(b \rtimes g) = P \cdot (1 - u_{f_i})(b \rtimes f_i) = 0 = 0P(1_B \rtimes 1),$$

or $g = e_i$ for some i, in which case we choose the standard form $P = u_e \prod_{f<e}(1 - u_f)$ for P and get

$$\begin{aligned} P(b \rtimes g) = P(b \rtimes 1) = (b \rtimes 1)P(1_B \rtimes 1) = b(u_e(1_B) \rtimes 1 + \dots) \\ = \quad u_e(b)u_e(1_B) \rtimes 1 + \dots = u_e(b)(u_e(1_B) \rtimes 1 + \dots) = \rho_P(b)P(1_B \rtimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

by expansion of $P(1_B \rtimes 1)$. So in either case we have found some $x_P \in \rho_P(B)$ satisfying the claimed identity. On the other hand, any such x_P is unique because in the expansion $x_P P(1_P \rtimes 1) = x_P \rtimes e + \dots$ the factor $x_p \rtimes e$ is linearly independent from the other factors in the expansion, and so is unique. We have checked that $\sigma_P(b \rtimes g) = 0$ if $g \leq f_i$, and $\sigma_P(b \rtimes g) = \rho_P(b)$ if $g = e_i$, and with that one easily verifies that σ_P is a $*$-homomorphism. □

We are going to describe how we may associate incompatible Hilbert bimodules to compatible Hilbert bimodules.

Lemma 4.3. *There is a functor $\mathsf{G} : \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{C}$ defined by $\mathsf{G}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{E}$ for objects $\mathcal{F}$ in D and $\mathsf{G}(\mu) := \mu$ for morphisms μ in D, where $\mathcal{E}$ is defined to be identical to $\mathcal{F}$ as a graded vector space with the same S-action as $\mathcal{F}$, and the Hilbert A, B-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by $\xi \cdot b := \xi(b \rtimes 1)$, $a \cdot \xi := (a \rtimes 1)\xi$, and*

$$\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1) \quad := \quad \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3}$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$ and $P \in X$, where $\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ in (3) has to be chosen to be the unique $x_P \in B$ of Lemma 4.2 for $x = \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \in B \rtimes E$. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ canonically.

Proof. Notice that $\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} P(1_B \rtimes 1)$ in (3) by compatibility of $\mathcal{F}$. The inner product on $\mathcal{E}$ is determined by (3) and $\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\langle \sum_{P\in X} P\xi, \sum_{Q\in X} Q\eta \right\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} := \sum_{P\in X} \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$. (The last identity is necessary since u_e, and consequently P, need to act as self-adjoint projections on $\mathcal{E}$.) We are going to check that the inner product on $\mathcal{E}$ respects the B-module multiplication. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P\xi, P(\eta \cdot b)\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1) &= \langle P\xi, P(\eta(b \rtimes 1))\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} P(b \rtimes 1) \\ &= (\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} b) P(1_B \rtimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of x_P in Lemma 4.2 we get $\langle P\xi, P(\eta \cdot b)\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} b$. (Note that $\rho_P(a)b = \rho_P(ab)$.) Writing

$$\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{P\in X} \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{P\in X} \sigma_P(\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}), \tag{4}$$

we easily see that we have here indeed a (positive definite) B-valued inner product on $\mathcal{E}$ because σ_P is a $*$-homomorphism by Lemma 4.2.

We aim to show that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, which proves the last claim of the lemma. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ and T^* its adjoint in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$. Since the module multiplication $\mathcal{F} \times B \to \mathcal{F}$ is compatible, $TP = PT$ for all $P \in X$. Hence, by (4) we get $\langle T\xi, \eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \xi, T^*\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$, proving the claim. This also shows that A acts via adjoint-able operators on $\mathcal{E}$, and we easily see that A acts through a $*$-homomorphism on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$. We now focus on the S-action. We have, for $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_s(\xi \cdot b) &= U_s(\xi) s(b \rtimes 1) = U_s(\xi)(s(b) \rtimes ss^*) = U_s(\xi) ss^*(s(b) \rtimes 1) \\ &= U_s(\xi)(s(b) \rtimes 1) = U_s(\xi) \cdot s(b), \end{aligned}$$

proving one identity of Definition 2.2. The operator $U_s U_{s^*}$ is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{F}$ and so also on $\mathcal{E}$. It is easy to check that A acts as an S-equivariant representation on $\mathcal{E}$ (Definition 2.3).

We are going to show that $\langle U_s\xi, \eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = s\langle \xi, U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ (Definition 2.2). Assume that $P \in X$, $P_{s^*} \neq 0$, $b \in B$ and $b = \rho_{P_{s^*}}(b)$. By Lemma 3.2, $P \leq ss^*$ and so $e \leq ss^*$ since $e = e_1 \dots e_n$ in identity (1) and $e_i = ss^*$ for some i. Then, writing $P = u_e \prod_{f<e}(1 - u_f)$ in standard form

and expanding it, we get

$$s(bP_{s^*}(1_B \rtimes 1)) = es(b) \rtimes ss^*ess^* + \ldots = s(b)P(1_B \rtimes 1), \tag{5}$$

$$\rho_P(s(b)) = u_e(s(b)) = u_{ss^*es}(b) = s(\rho_{P_{s^*}}(b)) = s(b) \tag{6}$$

by Lemma 3.2. Note that $Pu_s = u_sP_{s^*}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle PU_s\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \langle PU_s\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = s\langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \\ = \;& s\big(\langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P_{s^*}(1_B \rtimes 1)\big) = s\big(\langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}\big)P(1_B \rtimes 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity is by (5) for $b := \langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \in \rho_{P_{s^*}}(B)$. By (6), the last computation and the uniqueness of x_P, we get $\langle PU_s\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = s\langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$. If $P_{s^*} = 0$ then the last identity is trivially also true. Hence it follows

$$\langle U_s\xi, \eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{P\in X} \langle PU_s\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{P\in X} s\langle P_{s^*}\xi, P_{s^*}U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = s\langle \xi, U_{s^*}\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}},$$

where the last identity is by Lemma 3.2.(iii). Hence, U is evidently an S-action on $\mathcal{E}$. One easily checks that $\mathsf{G}(\mu)$ is a morphism if μ is a morphism (note that we have $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$). □

Proposition 4.4. *The functors* F *and* G *define a categorial equivalence between* C *and* D.

Proof. We need to show that the functor GF is naturally equivalent to id_{C} by a natural isomorphism κ, and the functor FG to id_{D} by a natural isomorphism λ. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in D. Let us denote the map $\mathcal{F} \to \mathsf{G}(\mathcal{F})$ by W for greater clarity (for any $\mathcal{F}$), although it is regarded as an identical map on sets. We define $\kappa_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathsf{GF}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathsf{FG}(\mathcal{F})$ by $\kappa_{\mathcal{E}} = W \circ f$ and $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}} = f \circ W$, where f denotes the linear isomorphism

$$f : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_B^X (B \rtimes E) : f(\xi) = \mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)). \tag{7}$$

It is indeed surjective, as

$$\mathbb{D}(\xi \otimes (b \rtimes e)) = \mathbb{D}(\xi b \otimes u_e(1_B \rtimes 1)) = \mathbb{D}(u_e(\xi b) \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1))$$

for any given $\xi \in \mathcal{E}, e \in E$ and $b \in B_e$. For $s \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(U_s\xi) &= \mathbb{D}\big(U_s\xi \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)\big) = \mathbb{D}\big(U_{ss^*}U_s(\xi)s(1_B) \otimes (1_B \rtimes 1)\big) \\ &= \mathbb{D}\big(U_s\xi \otimes ss^*(s(1_B)(1_B \rtimes 1))\big) = \mathbb{D}\big(U_s\xi \otimes s(1_B \rtimes 1)\big) = u_sf(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Hence f, and so $\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ respect the S-action. We have $f(a\xi) = (a \rtimes 1)f(a)$, and thus $\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}(a\xi) = W(a \rtimes 1f(a)) = a \cdot W(f(a)) = a \cdot \kappa_{\mathcal{E}}(a)$, and

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}((a \rtimes e)\eta) = \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(u_e(a \rtimes 1)\eta) = \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}((a \rtimes 1)u_e(\eta)) = (a \rtimes 1)u_e(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(\eta)) = (a \rtimes e)\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(\eta).$$

Hence $\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ respect the left module structure. They automatically also respect the right module structure as we are even going to show that they are unitary operators. We have, for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$, and by omitting notating the map W,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle P\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\xi, P\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\eta\rangle = \langle Pf\xi, Pf\eta\rangle = \langle P\xi \otimes P(1_B \rtimes 1), P\eta \otimes P(1_B \rtimes 1)\rangle \\ = \;& \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ is evidently a unitary operator. Similarly we have, for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\langle P\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}\xi, P\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}\eta\rangle P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \langle Pf\xi, Pf\eta\rangle = \langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1),$$

which also shows that $\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a unitary operator by the uniqueness of the coefficient x_P (Lemma 4.2). For morphisms μ we have $\mathsf{GF}(\mu) = (\mu \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathsf{FG}(\mu) = (\mu \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}$. So we get $\mathsf{GF}(\mu) \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{E}} = \kappa_{\mathcal{E}'} \circ \mu$ and $\mathsf{FG}(\mu) \circ \lambda_{\mathcal{F}} = \lambda_{\mathcal{F}'} \circ \mu$, which completes the proof. □

5. The Green–Julg isomorphism

Lemma 5.1. *There is a homomorphism $\delta^S : KK^S(A, B) \longrightarrow \widehat{KK^S}(A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E)$ defined by $\delta^S(\mathcal{E}, T) = \big(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B^X (B \rtimes E), \mathbb{D}(T \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}\big)$ on cycles.*

Proof. There is a homomorphism $\epsilon : KK^S(A, B) \longrightarrow KK^S(A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E)$ defined by $\epsilon^S(\pi, \mathcal{E}, T) = (\overline{\pi}, \mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E), T \otimes 1)$ on cycles by [2, Theorem 6.7.(a)] and the remark after that theorem. The action $\overline{\pi}$ of $A \rtimes E$ on $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E)$ commutes with $\mathbb{D}$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.1). The cycle $\delta^S(\mathcal{E}, T)$ is then just the cycle $\epsilon^S(\pi, \mathcal{E}, T)$ cut down by the projection $\mathbb{D}$, so is a cycle again. More precisely, for example, to check Definition 2.5, one has (with Lemma 3.1 and Definition 2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \rtimes e)\big((u_s \otimes u_s)\mathbb{D}(T \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}(u_{s^*} \otimes u_{s^*}) - (u_{ss^*} \otimes u_{ss^*})\mathbb{D}(T \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}\big) \\ = \;& \mathbb{D}(u_e \otimes u_e)(1 \otimes u_{ss^*})\big(a(u_s T u_{s^*} - u_{ss^*}T) \otimes 1\big)\mathbb{D} \\ = \;& \mathbb{D}(u_e \otimes u_e)(1 \otimes u_{ss^*})(k \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}, \end{aligned}$$

and this is a compact operator on $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B (B \rtimes E))\mathbb{D}$ because $k := a(u_s T u_{s^*} - u_{ss^*}T)$ is in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$ by Definition 2.5. We omit the straightforward proof that δ^S respects homotopy. □

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a homomorphism* $\gamma^S : \widehat{KK^S}(A \rtimes E, B \rtimes E) \longrightarrow KK^S(A,B)$ *defined by* $\gamma^S(\mathcal{F},T) = (\mathsf{G}(\mathcal{F}),T)$ *on cycles.*

Proof. By the last assertion of Lemma 4.3, the identical map $\iota : T \mapsto T$ sends $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{G}(\mathcal{F}))$. We aim to show that ι maps compact operators to compact operators. Let $\theta_{\xi,\eta} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ denote the elementary compact operator $\zeta \mapsto \xi\langle\eta,\zeta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$. Set $\mathcal{E} = \mathsf{G}(\mathcal{F})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi\langle\eta,\nu\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} &= \sum_{P\in X} P(\xi)\langle P\eta,\nu\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{P\in X} P(\xi)\langle P\eta,\nu\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} P(1_B \rtimes 1)\\ &= \sum_{P\in X} P(\xi)(\langle P\eta,\nu\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \rtimes 1) = \sum_{P\in X} P(\xi)\cdot\langle P\eta,\nu\rangle_{\mathcal{E}},\end{aligned}$$

which is a compact operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\cdot$ denotes the B-module multiplication in $\mathcal{E}$. It is not difficult to check that $\gamma^S(\mathcal{F},T)$ is a cycle.

It remains to check that γ^S respects homotopy. Let $(\mathcal{F},T)$ be a homotopy, so an $A \rtimes E, (B\rtimes E)[0,1]$-Kasparov cycle, and denote $(\mathcal{E},T) := \gamma^S(\mathcal{F},T)$. (Recall that $\mathcal{E}$ is an identical copy of $\mathcal{F}$ as a set.) Write $\varphi_t : (B\rtimes E)[0,1] \to B\rtimes E$ and $\psi_t : B[0,1] \to B$ for the evaluation maps at time t, and denote by $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}\otimes_{\varphi_t}(B\rtimes E)$ and $\mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}\otimes_{\psi_t} B$ evaluation of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ at time t. We aim to show that $\mathcal{E}$ is a homotopy connecting $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_0, T\otimes 1)$ with $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_1, T\otimes 1)$, where we denote $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t, T\otimes 1) := \gamma^S(\mathcal{F}_t, T\otimes 1)$. To this end it is enough to show that $\omega : \mathcal{E}_t \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}_t$ with $\omega(\xi\otimes b) = \xi\otimes(b\rtimes 1)$ is an isomorphism of S-Hilbert A,B-bimodules, because $(\mathcal{E}_0, T\otimes 1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_1, T\otimes 1)$ are homotopically connected by $(\mathcal{E},T)$. That ω respects the A,B-bimodule structure is obvious. Note that $\mathcal{F}$ is a compatible bimodule and φ is a compatible representation. Thus

$$\xi\otimes b\rtimes e = \xi\otimes u_e(b\rtimes 1) = \xi u_e(1_{(B\rtimes E)[0,1]})\otimes(b\rtimes 1) = u_e(\xi)\otimes(b\rtimes 1) \tag{8}$$

in $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t$, which shows that ω is surjective. Similarly, we see that ω is S-equivariant as

$$\omega(u_s(\xi)\otimes s(b)) = u_{ss^*}u_s(\xi)\otimes(s(b)\rtimes 1) = u_s(\xi)\otimes s(b\rtimes 1).$$

We have $P(\xi\otimes(b\rtimes 1)) = P(\xi)\otimes P(b\rtimes 1)$ in $\mathcal{F}_t$. Hence, the inner product of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t$ is computed from the one of $\mathcal{F}_t$ by

$$\big\langle P(\xi\otimes(b\rtimes 1)), P(\eta\otimes(c\rtimes 1))\big\rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t} P(1_B\rtimes 1) = P(b\rtimes 1)^*\varphi_t\big(\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}\big)P(c\rtimes 1). \tag{9}$$

By the connection between the inner products of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\psi_t\big(\langle P\xi,P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}\big)P(1_B\rtimes 1) = \varphi_t\big(\langle P\xi,P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}P(1_{B[0,1]}\rtimes 1)\big) = \varphi_t\langle P\xi,P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Multiplying here from the left and right with $P(b^* \rtimes 1)$ and $P(c \rtimes 1)$, respectively, gives

$$\big(b^*\psi_t(\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{E}})c\big)P(1_B \rtimes 1) \;=\; P(b^* \rtimes 1)\varphi_t\big(\langle P\xi, P\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}\big)P(c \rtimes 1). \tag{10}$$

By a similar computation as in (8) we get $u_e \otimes u_e = u_e \otimes 1$ on $\mathcal{E}_t$, and so also $P \otimes P = P \otimes 1$ on $\mathcal{E}_t$. Consequently, the left hand side of (10) equals $\langle P(\xi \otimes b), P(\eta \otimes c)\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_t} P(1_B \rtimes 1)$. A compare of the identities (9) and (10) shows that

$$\langle P\omega(\xi \otimes b), P\omega(\eta \otimes c)\rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t} P(1_B \rtimes 1) = \langle P(\xi \otimes b), P(\eta \otimes c)\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_t} P(1_B \rtimes 1). \tag{11}$$

By the uniqueness of the $\rho_P(B)$-factor (Lemma 4.2), we see that ω respects the inner product. □

Theorem 5.3. *δ^S and γ^S are isomorphisms which are inverses to each other.*

Proof. By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 we have $\gamma^S\delta^S(\mathcal{E}, T) = (\mathsf{GF}(\mathcal{E}), \mathbb{D}(T \otimes 1)\mathbb{D})$. By the proof of Proposition 4.4 there is an isomorphism $\kappa : \mathcal{E} \to \mathsf{GF}(\mathcal{E})$ of S-Hilbert A, B-bimodules, where $\kappa = W \circ f$. Since $(\mathcal{E}, T)$ is a cycle, $k := aTP - aPT$ is a compact operators on $\mathcal{E}$ for $a \in A$ and $P \in X$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} a\kappa^{-1}\mathbb{D}(T \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}\kappa(\xi) &= \kappa^{-1}\sum_{P\in X} aPTP(\xi) \otimes P(1) \\ = \;\; \kappa^{-1}\sum_{P\in X} P(aT(\xi) + k(\xi)) \otimes P(1) &= aT(\xi) + k(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\kappa^{-1}\mathbb{D}(T \otimes 1)\mathbb{D}\kappa$ is a compact perturbation of T, and hence $\gamma^S\delta^S = \mathrm{id}$. Similarly, one checks $\delta^S\gamma^S = \mathrm{id}$. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let S be a finite unital inverse semigroup. Then there exists a Green–Julg isomorphism μ^S determined by the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} KK^S(\mathbb{C}, A) & \xrightarrow{\delta^S} & \widehat{KK^S}(C_0(X), A \rtimes E) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu^S}} & K((A \rtimes E)\widehat{\rtimes}S) \\ & \searrow^{\mu^S} & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_*} \\ & & & & K(A \rtimes S). \end{array}$$

Proof. Since S is finite, Paterson's groupoid $\mathcal{G}_S$ [8] associated to S is finite and Hausdorff, and we may choose $X = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ as an example for an universal space for proper actions by $\mathcal{G}_S$. In this simple case, the Baum–Connes map for groupoids by Tu [11] becomes an isomorphism

$$\mu^{\mathcal{G}_S} : KK^{\mathcal{G}_S}(C_0(X), A) \longrightarrow K(A \rtimes \mathcal{G}_S).$$

In [2] we have shown that $\widehat{KK^S}(A,B)$ and $KK^{\mathcal{G}_S}(A,B)$ are isomorphic, and use this, together with an isomorphism $B\widehat{\rtimes}S \cong B \rtimes \mathcal{G}_S$ for S-algebras B by Quigg and Sieben [9], to translate the last Baum–Connes isomorphism for groupoids to a Baum–Connes isomorphism $\widehat{\mu^S}$ as in the above diagram. The down arrow in the diagram is induced by an isomorphism $\gamma : (A \rtimes E)\widehat{\rtimes}S \longrightarrow A \rtimes S$ by Khoshkam and Skandalis in [7, Theorem 6.2]. The map δ^S of the diagram is the map of Lemma 5.1, which is an isomorphism by Theorem 5.3. □

References

[1] B. Burgstaller. A descent homomorphism for semimultiplicative sets. *Rocky Mountain J. Math, to appear.* preprint arXiv:1111.4160.

[2] B. Burgstaller. Equivariant KK-theory of r-discrete groupoids and inverse semigroups. *Rocky Mountain J. Math, to appear.* preprint arXiv:1211.5006.

[3] B. Burgstaller. Equivariant KK-theory for semimultiplicative sets. *New York J. Math.*, 15:505–531, 2009.

[4] P. Julg. K-théorie équivariante et produits croises. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I*, 292:629–632, 1981.

[5] G.G. Kasparov. The operator K-functor and extensions of C*-algebras. *Math. USSR, Izv.*, 16:513–572, 1981.

[6] G.G. Kasparov. Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91(1):147–201, 1988.

[7] M. Khoshkam and G. Skandalis. Crossed products of C^*-algebras by groupoids and inverse semigroups. *J. Oper. Theory*, 51(2):255–279, 2004.

[8] A.L.T. Paterson. *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras.* Progress in Mathematics (Boston, Mass.). 170. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser., 1999.

[9] J. Quigg and N. Sieben. C^*-actions of r-discrete groupoids and inverse semigroups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A*, 66(2):143–167, 1999.

[10] N. Sieben. C^*-crossed products by partial actions and actions of inverse semigroups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A*, 63(1):32–46, 1997.

[11] J. L. Tu. The Novikov conjecture for hyperbolic foliations. (La conjecture de Novikov pour les feuilletages hyperboliques.). *K-Theory*, 16(2):129–184, 1999.

[12] J.L. Tu. The Baum-Connes conjecture for amenable foliations. (La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables.). *K-Theory*, 17(3):215–264, 1999.

[13] R. Vergnioux. *KK-théorie équivariante et opérateur de Julg-Valette pour les groupes quantiques.* PhD thesis, Paris, 2002.

[14] R. Vergnioux. K-amenability for amalgamated free products of amenable discrete quantum groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 212(1):206–221, 2004.

Doppler Institute for mathematical physics, Trojanova 13, 12000 Praha, Czech Republic

E-mail address: bernhardburgstaller@yahoo.de